

Deriving Mathisson - Papapetrou equations from relativistic pseudomechanics

R.R. Lompay*

Abstract

It is shown that the equations of motion of a test point particle with spin in a given gravitational field, so called Mathisson - Papapetrou equations, can be derived from Euler - Lagrange equations of the relativistic pseudomechanics – relativistic mechanics, which side by side uses the conventional (commuting) and Grassmannian (anti-commuting) variables. In this approach the known difficulties of the Mathisson - Papapetrou equations, namely, the problem of the choice of supplementary conditions and the problem of higher derivatives are not appear.

PACS numbers: 04.20.-q, 12.60.Jv

In ref. [1] dealing with a description of classical relativistic point particle with spin was suggested a model based on action functional

$$W[z, \psi, \bar{\psi}; \theta_{1,2}] = \frac{1}{c} \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \mathcal{D}\theta \left[-mc^2 + \frac{i\hbar c}{2} (\bar{\psi}(\theta) D\psi(\theta) - D\bar{\psi}(\theta) \psi(\theta)) + \frac{\kappa}{2} mc^2 \bar{\psi}(\theta) \gamma_\alpha \psi(\theta) D z^\alpha(\theta) \right]. \quad (1)$$

Here c is light velocity in vacuum; $\hbar$ – Planck constant; m – bare mass of the particle; κ – dimensionless coupling constant; θ – an arbitrary parameter which numerates the points of the world line of the particle and has length dimension; $z \equiv \{z^\mu\} = (z^0, z^1, z^2, z^3)$ are pseudocartesian coordinates of the particle in Minkowski space; $\gamma^\mu \equiv \{\gamma^{\mu A}{}_B\}$ – Dirac matrices which satisfy anticommutation relations

$$\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu = -2\eta^{\mu\nu} I, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\{\eta_{\mu\nu}\} = \{\eta^{\mu\nu}\} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1) \quad (3)$$

is Minkowski space metrics; $I \equiv \{\delta^A{}_B\}$ – unit 4×4 -matrix; $\psi \equiv \{\psi^A\}$ and $\bar{\psi} \equiv \{\bar{\psi}_B\} = \psi^\dagger \gamma^0$ are Dirac spinor and conjugated to it spinor, accordingly, which are defined on the world line of the particle and considered as Grassmannian variables:

$$\psi^A \psi^B = -\psi^B \psi^A, \quad \bar{\psi}_A \bar{\psi}_B = -\bar{\psi}_B \bar{\psi}_A, \quad \psi^A \bar{\psi}_B = -\bar{\psi}_B \psi^A. \quad (4)$$

$\mathcal{D}\theta \equiv [-\eta_{\alpha\beta} z'^\alpha(\theta) z'^\beta(\theta)]^{1/2} d\theta$ and $D \equiv [-\eta_{\alpha\beta} z'^\alpha(\theta) z'^\beta(\theta)]^{-1/2} d/d\theta$ are, accordingly, reparametrization-invariant (RI) measure and RI-derivative – the objects which allow to fulfill the calculations not depending on the special choice of the parameter θ (see ref. [2]); $z'^\alpha(\theta) \equiv dz^\alpha(\theta)/d\theta$.

The model (1) correctly describes the kinematical connection between orbital and spin parts of the total 4-angular momentum $J_{\rho\sigma} = M_{\rho\sigma} + S_{\rho\sigma}$ and gives also true results for Poisson brackets for dynamical variables in corresponding Hamilton formalism.

In this work we show that in the case of interaction such particle with an external gravitational field the equation of motion which follow from corresponding generalization of the action functional (1), leads to Mathisson – Papapetrou equation (MPE) [3, 4].

We introduce an interaction of the particle with gravitational field with minimal coupling by covariantization of action functional (1). Metrics (3) and γ -matrices (2) of Minkowski space, which we shall denote now as $\eta_{(\alpha)(\beta)}$ and

*Institute of Electron Physics Nat. Acad. Sci. of Ukraine, Department of Theory of Elementary Interactions, Uzhgorod, Ukraine, E-mail: lompay@zk.arbitr.gov.ua

$\gamma^{(\alpha)}$, we replace by metrics $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$ and γ -matrices $\gamma^\mu(x)$ of an arbitrary pseudorimannian space. And RI-derivative D we replace by absolute RI-derivative ∇ . Then the action functional (1) take the form

$$\begin{aligned} W[z, \psi, \bar{\psi}, g; \theta_{1,2}] &= \\ &= \frac{1}{c} \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \mathcal{D}\theta \left[-mc^2 + \frac{i\hbar c}{2} (\bar{\psi}(\theta) \nabla \psi(\theta) - \nabla \bar{\psi}(\theta) \psi(\theta)) + \frac{\kappa}{2} mc^2 \bar{\psi}(\theta) \gamma_\alpha(z(\theta)) \psi(\theta) D z^\alpha(\theta) \right] \\ &\equiv W_1 + W_2 + W_3, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where now $\mathcal{D}\theta = [-g_{\alpha\beta}(z(\theta)) z'^\alpha(\theta) z'^\beta(\theta)]^{1/2} d\theta$; $D = [-g_{\alpha\beta}(z(\theta)) z'^\alpha(\theta) z'^\beta(\theta)]^{-1/2} d/d\theta$; $\gamma^\mu(x) \equiv \gamma^{(\alpha)} e_{(\alpha)}^\mu(x)$ and $e_{(\alpha)}^\mu(x)$ form a fierbein:

$$\eta^{(\alpha)(\beta)} e_{(\alpha)}^\mu(x) e_{(\beta)}^\nu(x) = g^{\mu\nu}(x); \quad g_{\mu\nu}(x) e_{(\alpha)}^\mu(x) e_{(\beta)}^\nu(x) = \eta_{(\alpha)(\beta)}, \quad (6)$$

so that

$$\gamma^\mu(x) \gamma^\nu(x) + \gamma^\nu(x) \gamma^\mu(x) = -2g^{\mu\nu}(x) I. \quad (7)$$

Absolute RI-derivative ∇ acting on the spinors is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \psi(\theta) &\equiv D\psi(\theta) + \Omega_\nu(z(\theta)) \psi(\theta) D z^\nu(x); \\ \nabla \bar{\psi}(\theta) &\equiv D\bar{\psi}(\theta) - \bar{\psi}(\theta) \Omega_\nu(z(\theta)) D z^\nu(x), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $\Omega_\nu(x)$ are spin connection coefficients:

$$\Omega_\nu(x) = \frac{1}{2!} s^{(\rho)(\sigma)} \omega_{(\rho)(\sigma)(\alpha)}(x) e^{(\alpha)}{}_\nu(x); \quad \omega_{(\rho)(\sigma)(\alpha)}(x) \equiv e_{(\rho)}^\mu(x) (\nabla_\lambda e_{(\sigma)\mu}(x)) e_{(\alpha)}^\lambda(x). \quad (9)$$

And the action on the vector is defined as

$$\nabla D z^\mu(\theta) \equiv D^2 z^\mu(\theta) + \Gamma^\mu{}_{\lambda\nu}(z(\theta)) D z^\lambda(\theta) D z^\nu(\theta), \quad (10)$$

where $\Gamma^\mu{}_{\lambda\nu}(x)$ are Kristoffel symbols:

$$\Gamma^\mu{}_{\lambda\nu}(x) \equiv g^{\mu\alpha}(x) \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\lambda g_{\alpha\nu}(x) + \partial_\nu g_{\alpha\lambda}(x) - \partial_\alpha g_{\lambda\nu}(x)). \quad (11)$$

Let as find the variations of the action functional (8), caused by varying of quantities $z(\theta), \psi(\theta), \bar{\psi}(\theta)$:

$$\delta W[z, \psi, \bar{\psi}, g; \theta_{1,2}] \equiv W[z + \delta z, \psi + \delta \psi, \bar{\psi} + \delta \bar{\psi}, g; \theta_{1,2}] - W[z, \psi, \bar{\psi}, g; \theta_{1,2}] = \delta_z W + \delta_\psi W + \delta_{\bar{\psi}} W. \quad (12)$$

$$\delta_z W[z, \psi, \bar{\psi}, g; \theta_{1,2}] \equiv W[z + \delta z, \psi, \bar{\psi}, g; \theta_{1,2}] - W[z, \psi, \bar{\psi}, g; \theta_{1,2}] = \delta_z W_1 + \delta_z W_2 + \delta_z W_3; \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_z W_1 &= -mc \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \delta(\mathcal{D}\theta) = -mc \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} d\theta \delta_z \left(\sqrt{-g_{\alpha\beta}(z)} z'^\alpha z'^\beta \right) \\ &= \frac{mc}{2} \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} d\theta [-g_{\rho\sigma}(z) z'^\rho z'^\sigma]^{-1/2} (\partial_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} \delta z^\mu z'^\alpha z'^\beta + 2g_{\alpha\mu} z'^\alpha (\delta z^\mu)') \\ &= \frac{mc}{2} \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \mathcal{D}\theta [\partial_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} D z^\alpha D z^\beta \delta z^\mu + 2g_{\alpha\mu} D z^\alpha D (\delta z^\mu)] \\ &= \frac{mc}{2} \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \mathcal{D}\theta [\partial_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} D z^\alpha D z^\beta - 2D(g_{\alpha\mu} D z^\alpha)] \delta z^\mu + \text{boundary terms} \\ &= -mc \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \mathcal{D}\theta [g_{\alpha\mu} D^2 z^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\alpha g_{\beta\mu} + \partial_\beta g_{\alpha\mu} - \partial_\mu g_{\alpha\beta}) D z^\alpha D z^\beta] \delta z^\mu + \text{boundary terms} \\ &= \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \mathcal{D}\theta [-\nabla (mc g_{\lambda\mu} D z^\lambda)] \delta z^\mu + \text{boundary terms}; \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_z W_2 &= \frac{i\hbar}{2} \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} d\theta \left[\bar{\psi} \delta_z (\psi' + \Omega_\alpha(z) \psi z'^\alpha) - \delta_z (\bar{\psi}' - \bar{\psi} \Omega_\alpha(z) z'^\alpha) \psi \right] = i\hbar \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} d\theta \bar{\psi} \delta_z (\Omega_\alpha(z) z'^\alpha) \psi \\
&= i\hbar \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} d\theta \left[\bar{\psi} \partial_\mu \Omega_\alpha(z) \psi z'^\alpha \delta z^\mu + \bar{\psi} \Omega_\mu(z) \psi (\delta z^\mu)' \right] \\
&= i\hbar \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \mathcal{D}\theta \left[\bar{\psi} \partial_\mu \Omega_\alpha(z) \psi D z^\alpha \delta z^\mu + \bar{\psi} \Omega_\mu(z) \psi D (\delta z^\mu) \right] \\
&= i\hbar \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \mathcal{D}\theta \left[\bar{\psi} (\partial_\mu \Omega_\alpha) \psi D z^\alpha - D (\bar{\psi} \Omega_\mu \psi) \right] \delta z^\mu + \text{boundary terms} \\
&= i\hbar \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \mathcal{D}\theta \left[\bar{\psi} (\partial_\mu \Omega_\alpha - \partial_\alpha \Omega_\mu) \psi D z^\alpha - D \bar{\psi} \Omega_\mu \psi - \bar{\psi} \Omega_\mu D \psi \right] \delta z^\mu + \text{boundary terms} \\
&= i\hbar \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \mathcal{D}\theta \left[\bar{\psi} (\partial_\mu \Omega_\alpha - \partial_\alpha \Omega_\mu + \Omega_\mu \Omega_\alpha - \Omega_\alpha \Omega_\mu) \psi D z^\alpha - \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (D \bar{\psi} - \bar{\psi} \Omega_\alpha D z^\alpha) \Omega_\mu \psi - \bar{\psi} \Omega_\mu (D \psi + \psi \Omega_\alpha D z^\alpha) \right] \delta z^\mu + \text{boundary terms} \\
&= \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \mathcal{D}\theta \left[\frac{1}{2} (\hbar \bar{\psi} s^{\rho\sigma} \psi) R_{\rho\sigma\mu\alpha} D z^\alpha - i\hbar (\nabla \bar{\psi} \Omega_\mu \psi + \bar{\psi} \Omega_\mu \nabla \psi) \right] \delta z^\mu + \text{boundary terms} ;
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_z W_3 &= \frac{\kappa}{2} mc \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} d\theta \bar{\psi} \delta_z (\gamma_\alpha(z) z'^\alpha) \psi = \frac{\kappa}{2} mc \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \mathcal{D}\theta \left[\bar{\psi} (\partial_\mu \gamma_\alpha) \psi D z^\alpha - D (\bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu \psi) \right] \delta z^\mu + \text{boundary terms} \\
&= \frac{\kappa}{2} \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \mathcal{D}\theta \left[\bar{\psi} (\partial_\mu \gamma_\alpha - \Gamma^\beta_{\alpha\mu} \gamma_\beta) \psi D z^\alpha - (D (\bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu \psi) - \Gamma^\nu_{\mu\alpha} (\bar{\psi} \gamma_\nu \psi) D z^\alpha) \right] \delta z^\mu + \text{boundary terms} \\
&= \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \mathcal{D}\theta \left[\frac{\kappa}{2} mc \bar{\psi} (\gamma_\alpha \Omega_\mu - \Omega_\mu \gamma_\alpha) \psi D z^\alpha - \nabla (\frac{\kappa}{2} mc \bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu \psi) \right] \delta z^\mu + \text{boundary terms} .
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

In obtaining the last equalities in (15) and (16) we used the identities

$$\partial_\mu \Omega_\alpha - \partial_\alpha \Omega_\mu + \Omega_\mu \Omega_\alpha - \Omega_\alpha \Omega_\mu \equiv \frac{i}{2!} s^{\rho\sigma} R_{\rho\sigma\mu\alpha}, \quad s^{\rho\sigma} \equiv \frac{i}{2} (\gamma^\rho \gamma^\sigma - \gamma^\sigma \gamma^\rho). \tag{17}$$

$$\nabla_\mu \gamma_\alpha \equiv \partial_\mu \gamma_\alpha - \Gamma^\beta_{\alpha\mu} \gamma_\beta + \Omega_\mu \gamma_\alpha - \gamma_\alpha \Omega_\mu \equiv 0. \tag{18}$$

Furthermore,

$$\delta_\psi W[z, \psi, \bar{\psi}, g; \theta_{1,2}] \equiv W[z, \psi + \delta\psi, \bar{\psi}, g; \theta_{1,2}] - W[z, \psi, \bar{\psi}, g; \theta_{1,2}] = \delta_\psi W_2 + \delta_\psi W_3; \tag{19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_\psi W_2 &= \frac{i\hbar}{2} \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \mathcal{D}\theta \left[\bar{\psi} \delta_\psi (D\psi + \Omega_\alpha \psi D z^\alpha) - \nabla \bar{\psi} \delta\psi \right] = \frac{i\hbar}{2} \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \mathcal{D}\theta \left[\bar{\psi} D (\delta\psi) + \bar{\psi} \Omega_\alpha \delta\psi D z^\alpha - \nabla \bar{\psi} \delta\psi \right] \\
&= \frac{i\hbar}{2} \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \mathcal{D}\theta \left[- (D \bar{\psi} - \bar{\psi} \Omega_\alpha D z^\alpha) - \nabla \bar{\psi} \delta\psi \right] \delta\psi + \text{boundary terms} \\
&= \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \mathcal{D}\theta \left[-i\hbar \nabla \bar{\psi} \right] \delta\psi + \text{boundary terms} ;
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

$$\delta_\psi W_3 = \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \mathcal{D}\theta \left[\frac{\kappa}{2} mc \bar{\psi} \gamma_\alpha D z^\alpha \right] \delta\psi. \tag{21}$$

Analogously

$$\delta_{\bar{\psi}} W[z, \psi, \bar{\psi}, g; \theta_{1,2}] \equiv W[z, \psi, \bar{\psi} + \delta\bar{\psi}, g; \theta_{1,2}] - W[z, \psi, \bar{\psi}, g; \theta_{1,2}] = \delta_{\bar{\psi}} W_2 + \delta_{\bar{\psi}} W_3; \tag{22}$$

$$\delta_{\bar{\psi}} W_2 = \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \mathcal{D}\theta \delta\bar{\psi} [i\hbar \nabla \psi] + \text{boundary terms} ; \tag{23}$$

$$\delta_{\bar{\psi}} W_3 = \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \mathcal{D}\theta \delta\bar{\psi} \left[\frac{\kappa}{2} mc \gamma_\alpha \psi D z^\alpha \right]. \tag{24}$$

Taking, as usually, that on the boundary of integration $\theta = \theta_{1,2}$, the variations vanish, $\delta z_{1,2} = \delta \psi_{1,2} = \delta \bar{\psi}_{1,2} = 0$, using the formulae (12)–(16), (19)–(24), we obtain the following equations of the motion

$$\frac{\Delta W}{\Delta z^\mu} = -\nabla \left[mcg_{\lambda\mu}(Dz^\lambda + \frac{\kappa}{2}\bar{\psi}\gamma^\lambda\psi) \right] + \frac{1}{2!}(\hbar\bar{\psi}s^{\rho\sigma}\psi)R_{\rho\sigma\mu\nu}Dz^\nu - \quad (25)$$

$$-(i\hbar\nabla\bar{\psi} - \frac{\kappa}{2}mc\bar{\psi}\gamma_\alpha Dz^\alpha)\Omega_\mu\psi - \bar{\psi}\Omega_\mu(i\hbar\nabla\psi + \frac{\kappa}{2}mc\gamma_\alpha\psi Dz^\alpha) = 0;$$

$$\frac{\Delta^R W}{\Delta\psi} = -(i\hbar\nabla\bar{\psi} - \frac{\kappa}{2}mc\bar{\psi}\gamma_\alpha Dz^\alpha) = 0; \quad (26)$$

$$\frac{\Delta^L W}{\Delta\bar{\psi}} = i\hbar\nabla\psi + \frac{\kappa}{2}mc\gamma_\alpha\psi Dz^\alpha = 0. \quad (27)$$

Substituting the eqs. (26), (27) into eq. (25), we reduce the last system to the form

$$\begin{cases} \nabla [mc(Dz^\mu + \frac{\kappa}{2}\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi)] = \frac{1}{2!}R^\mu{}_{\nu\rho\sigma}Dz^\nu(\hbar\bar{\psi}s^{\rho\sigma}\psi); \\ \nabla\psi = \frac{i}{2}\kappa(\frac{mc}{\hbar})\gamma_\alpha\psi Dz^\alpha; \\ \nabla\bar{\psi} = -\frac{i}{2}\kappa(\frac{mc}{\hbar})\bar{\psi}\gamma_\alpha Dz^\alpha. \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

Using the notation

$$P^\mu \equiv m \left(Dz^\mu + \frac{\kappa}{2}\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu(z)\psi \right), \quad S_{\rho\sigma} \equiv \bar{\psi}s_{\rho\sigma}(z)\psi \quad (29)$$

for the expressions which are the general covariant generalization of the expressions for 4-momentum and 4-spin of the particles in pseudoeuclidian space-time [1], we can write the first eq. in (28) also in the form

$$\nabla P^\mu = \frac{1}{2!}R^\mu{}_{\nu\rho\sigma}Dz^\nu S^{\rho\sigma}. \quad (30)$$

With the help of eqs. (28),(29) it is easy to obtain the equations

$$\nabla S_{\rho\sigma} = -(Dz_\rho P_\sigma - Dz_\sigma P_\rho), \quad (31)$$

As consequences of these equation one obtain the equations

$$\nabla S_{\rho\sigma} + Dz_\rho Dz^\nu S_{\nu\sigma} + Dz_\sigma Dz^\nu S_{\rho\nu} = 0, \quad (32)$$

and

$$Dz^\nu \nabla S_{\nu\mu} = (\delta^\nu{}_\mu + Dz^\nu Dz_\mu)P_\nu. \quad (33)$$

Using the eqs. (33), one can represent the 4-momentum in the form

$$P^\mu = -Dz^\mu Dz_\nu P^\nu + (\delta^\nu{}_\mu + Dz^\nu Dz_\mu)P_\nu = MDz^\mu + Dz^\nu \nabla S_{\nu}{}^\mu, \quad M \equiv -Dz_\nu P^\nu \quad (34)$$

Substituting the decomposition (34) into the eq. (30), one obtain

$$\nabla(MDz^\mu + Dz^\nu \nabla S_{\nu}{}^\mu) = \frac{1}{2!}R^\mu{}_{\nu\rho\sigma}Dz^\nu S^{\rho\sigma}. \quad (35)$$

The system of eqs. (32), (35)

$$\begin{cases} \nabla S_{\rho\sigma} + Dz_\rho Dz^\nu S_{\nu\sigma} + Dz_\sigma Dz^\nu S_{\rho\nu} = 0; \\ \nabla(MDz^\mu + Dz^\nu \nabla S_{\nu}{}^\mu) = \frac{1}{2!}R^\mu{}_{\nu\rho\sigma}Dz^\nu S^{\rho\sigma} \end{cases} \quad (36)$$

at first were obtain in papers [3, 4] and now is known as Mathisson–Papapetrou equations. The first equation of the system is called the equation of motion of the spin and second one – the equation of motion of the particle in space.

In original papers [3, 4] these equations were derived halfphenomenologically by integrating of multiple 3-momentum of a matter distributing in space with posterior reducing it to a point and covariantization the obtaining results. In our consideration the MPE are obtained as exact consequences of the variation Euler–Lagrange equations for abhoc point generally covariant physical system. This approach is automatically free from the well known difficulties MPE, namely.

The first is the problem of choice of supplementary conditions. If the eqs. (36) are considered as the closed system for determining $z^\mu(\theta)$ and $S_{\rho\sigma}(\theta)$, then it is easy to see, that the number of equations is less then the number of unknown functions. The first eq. (36) determines not the total $\nabla S_{\rho\sigma}$, but only its space projection

$$h_\rho^\mu h_\sigma^\nu \nabla S_{\mu\nu} \equiv (\delta^\mu_\rho + Dz^\mu Dz_\rho)(\delta^\nu_\sigma + Dz^\nu Dz_\sigma) \nabla S_{\mu\nu} = \nabla S_{\rho\sigma} + Dz_\rho Dz^\nu S_{\nu\sigma} + Dz_\sigma Dz^\nu S_{\rho\nu}. \quad (37)$$

So, the system MPE is not fully determined. For full determination one puts on $S_{\rho\sigma}$ some supplementary conditions, such as

$$\text{Corinaldesi-Papapetrou-Pirani condition [5, 6]} \quad S^{\mu\nu} Dz_\nu = 0; \quad (38)$$

$$\text{Tulczyjew condition [7]} \quad S^{\mu\nu} P_\nu = 0 \quad (39)$$

and some others. The problem is that there is not essential reason to prefer one or other supplementary condition. In our approach based on action functional (5) this problem doesn't arises. Indeed, the eqs. (36) are only a sequence of the basic system of eqs. (28) which fully determines all fundamental variables $z(\theta), \psi(\theta), \bar{\psi}(\theta)$ when initial data $z_0 \equiv z(\theta_0), \psi_0 \equiv \psi(\theta_0), \bar{\psi}_0 \equiv \bar{\psi}(\theta_0)$ are given. By known fundamental variables all other dynamical variables are determined unique. So, for example, the 4-spin is given by the second formula in (29).

The second is the problem of higher derivatives. As it is easy to see, the left-hand side of the second eq. in (36) involves the second derivative of $S_{\rho\sigma}$, which is not determined, since, as were noted, the first eq. in (36) fixes only the space part of $\nabla S_{\rho\sigma}$. When the supplementary condition (38) is imposed, the expression in parentheses in the second eq. in (36) can be rewritten as $(MDz^\mu - \nabla(Dz^\nu)S_{\nu}{}^\mu)$. Then the left-hand side of the second eq. in (36) will include the third derivative of coordinates of the particle, but this contradicts to traditional form of mechanics. In our approach this problem is not arising, too. In accordance with the equations given above, the expression in parentheses in second eq. in (36) is equal to $m(Dz^\mu + \frac{\kappa}{2}\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi)$, and higher derivatives are absent.

References

- [1] R. R. Lompay, in preparation.
- [2] R. R. Lompay, "Propertime Theories and reparametrization-invariant Theories," Submitted to Phys. Rev. D; also in arXiv: hep-th/0503091.
- [3] M. Mathisson, Acta Phys. Polon. **6**, 16 (1937).
- [4] A. Papapetrou, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A **209**, 248 (1951).
- [5] E. Corinaldesi and A. Papapetrou, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A **209**, 259 (1951).
- [6] F. Pirani, Acta Phys. Pol. **15**, 389 (1956).
- [7] W. Tulczyjew, Acta Phys. Pol. **18**, 393 (1959).